

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Status of Claims

Claims 1-28 are pending. All claims are original.

Abstract Objection

Applicant thanks the Examiner for pointing out errors in the Abstract section. Accordingly, Applicant has amended the abstract and respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the objection.

Rejection of claims 1-28 under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Marshall et al (US 5,502,504)

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

According to 35 USC § 102, each and every element of a claim must be anticipated, expressly or inherently, by the reference. In this case, Marshall does anticipate the claimed features, expressly or inherently.

The current application describes features including processing a registration information guide screen, which displays registration information recorded in a recording medium installed in the recording medium reproduction device, to be displayed on the display device.

Regarding claim 1, Marshall does not anticipate the claimed subject matter. Marshall merely discloses a system that is interactively controlled by a TV viewer remote control transmitter and displays a scroll program guide superimposed on the normal programming displayed on any channel accessible to the viewer's display screen. The tuner receives TV radio frequency or optical transmission signals of any selected channel in response to one of the control signals from the TV viewer remote control transmitter. A signal combiner combines the signal of any channel from the tuner with the output picture image to provide a display signal with the program guide display superimposed over the channel programming display for input to the viewer's display screen. See col 1, lines 34-55. Marshall does not disclose the claim features relating to *recorded programs stored on the recording medium*. Marshall only discloses displaying received radio frequency signals for TV channels. See Figs 6-9. Additionally, Marshall does not disclose the claimed features relating to *displaying*

requested registration information. Furthermore, Marshall does not disclose the claim features relating to *a first display area that displays classifications of registration information and a second area that displays registration information of the classification of registration of information.* In comparison, Marshall merely displays TV channels, timings and the title of TV shows. The claimed subject matter relates to registration information pertaining to recorded programs stored on a recording medium. Marshall does not disclose the various features relating to claimed subject matter.

Accordingly, claim 1 is allowable.

Claims 2-14 are allowable at least because they depend on allowable base claim 1.


Claims 15-28 are allowable at least because of reasons similar to claims 1-14, above.

Conclusion

In view of the above, it is believed that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 19, 2007



Gautam Sain
Reg. No. 57,805
Attorney for Applicant

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.
1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20036-2680
Main: (202) 659-9076